

Kenyon College

Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange

Four Valleys Archive

Anthropology

7-28-1996

PVN-CAT-128-S-093-001-FWO

Ellen Bell

Kenyon College

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras>



Part of the [Archaeological Anthropology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bell, Ellen, "PVN-CAT-128-S-093-001-FWO" (1996). *Four Valleys Archive*. Paper 29647.
<http://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras/29647>

This Catalog Sheet is brought to you for free and open access by the Anthropology at Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Four Valleys Archive by an authorized administrator of Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact noltj@kenyon.edu.

PROYECTO VALLE DE NACO
CATALOG FORM

DATE: 28 July 91

LOT NUMBER: 1285/93

SUBSTANCE: Ceramic

OBJECT: Figurina

CATALOG #: 1285/93-1 MULTIPLES?

DRAWN BY: N/A CATALOGED BY: 22

PASTE GROUP: F

CENSER FORM CODE:

EXCAVATOR:

HGT/LENGTH: 6.3cm

WIDTH: 2.8cm

THICKNESS: 0.6cm DIAMETER:

VERBAL DESCRIPTION:

This is part of a flute-like (ie: tubular) occurrence with a scorpion modeled onto the tube (presumably the upper surface). The scorpion has a pointed, elongated body with the tail prone behind it. The appendage curves around the end of the tube. The tube has an appendage, spluminal, unperforated eye above it. Interior of tube has no part (slip) or stops.

88/2861

00000000

10/10/82

00000000

00000000

10/10/82

00000000

00000000

00000000

The first of a series of letters from the author to the editor of the journal, dated 10/10/82, is reproduced in full. The letter is a response to a letter from the editor dated 10/10/82, in which the editor had asked the author to provide a more detailed account of the work reported in the paper. The author explains that the work was done in a very short period of time, and that the results were preliminary. He also mentions that the work was done in a very small laboratory, and that the results were not as good as those reported in the paper. The author concludes by stating that he is sorry that he cannot provide a more detailed account of the work, but that he is sure that the results are valid.